IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v. CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:17-cr-0047

Dana Stevenson.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before the court is a pro se letter-form motion requesting compassionate release, [ECF No. 81], filed by Defendant Dana Stevenson. For the reasons that follow, the letter-form motion is **DENIED** without prejudice.

I. Background

On June 14, 2018, Mr. Stevenson pleaded guilty before this court to Count II, Distribution of Heroin within 1000 Feet of a Public School in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 860 and to Count VII, being a Felon in Possession of a Firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). [ECF No. 49]. On November 5, 2018, I sentenced Mr. Stevenson to a term of 48 months imprisonment followed by 6 years of supervised release. [ECF No. 66]. He is currently serving his sentence of imprisonment at the Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, in Ohio. Mr. Stevenson now asked the court for compassionate release because the current public health crisis caused by COVID-19. [ECF No. 81].

II. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies and Section 3582(c)(1)(A)

The First Step Act empowers criminal defendants to request compassionate release for "extraordinary and compelling reasons." 18 U.S.C § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). But before they make such requests, defendants must ask BOP to do so on their behalf and give BOP thirty days to respond. See § 3582(c)(1)(A). Upon such a motion from BOP or from a defendant (after BOP denies the request or thirty days has elapsed since the request was filed), a court "may reduce the term of imprisonment...." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). Though some district courts have waived Section 3582's exhaustion requirement because of the COVID-19 pandemic, the majority of district courts have found that failure to exhaust administrative remedies is a death knell to a defendant's request for compassionate release. I recently held in agreement with the majority of courts, finding that a defendant must exhaust his or her administrative remedies before motioning this court. United States v. Thompson, --F.Supp.3d---, No. 2:18-CR-00105, 2020 WL 2121371, at *4 (S.D.W. Va. May 5, 2020). I adopt and incorporate my reasoning in Thompson here. See id.

Mr. Stevenson has not made any showing or representation to the court that he has exhausted his administrative remedies by filing a request with BOP and receiving a response or waiting the requisite 30 days. Accordingly, I do not reach the merits of Mr. Stevenson's letter-form motion requesting compassionate release. His motion is **DENIED** without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. He may refile a request after following appropriate administrative procedure.

III. Conclusion

Defendant's letter-form motion for compassionate release, [ECF No. 81], is **DENIED without prejudice.** The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to the defendant and counsel, the United States Attorney, the United States Probation Office, and the United States Marshal.

ENTER: May 27, 2020

OSEPH R. GOODWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ See e.g., United States v. Paul Gileno, No. 3:19-CR-161-(VAB)-1, 2020 WL 1916773, at *5 (D. Conn. Apr. 20, 2020) (finding that the exhaustion requirement should be waived as undue delay in defendant's release could result in catastrophic health consequences for him in light of his underlying health conditions and the COVID-19 pandemic); United States v. Jones, Criminal No. 3:11cr249-MHL, ECF No. 47 (E.D. Va. Apr. 3, 2020) (finding that the defendant's "unique circumstances and the exigency of a rapidly advancing pandemic" justified waiver of the exhaustion requirement); United States v. Perez, No. 17 Cr. 513 (AT), 2020 WL 1546422, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 1, 2020) (finding that the defendant's "undisputed fragile health, combined with the high risk of contracting COVID-19 in the [Metropolitan Correctional Center], justifies waiver of the exhaustion requirement," because the defendant had "less than three weeks remaining on his sentence" and suffered "severe side effects" from two surgeries); United States v. Calvin, No. 19 Cr. 179 (JBA), 2020 WL 1613943, at *2 (D. Conn. Apr. 2, 2020) (finding that "all three exceptions to the exhaustion requirement apply to Defendant's request").

² See e.g., United States v. Wright, No. 17 CR 695 (CM), 2020 WL 1922371, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 20, 2020); United States v. Feiling, No. 3:19 CR 112 (DJN), 2020 WL 1821457, at *5 (E.D. Va. Apr. 10, 2020; United States v. Underwood, No. CR TDC-18-0201, 2020 WL 1820092, at *2 (D. Md. Apr. 10, 2020); United States v. Sundblad, No. CV 6:16-CR-00047-JMC, 2020 WL 1686237, at *2 (D.S.C. Apr. 7, 2020); United States v. Carver, No. 19 Cr. 6044, 2020 WL 1604968, at *1 (E.D. Wa. Apr. 1, 2020); United States v. Clark, No. 17 Cr. 85 (SDD), 2020 WL 1557397, at *3 (M.D. La. Apr. 1, 2020); United States v. Williams, No. 15 Cr. 646, 2020 WL 1506222, at *1 (D. Md. Mar. 30, 2020); United States v. Garza, No. 18 Cr. 1745, 2020 WL 1485782, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2020); United States v. Eberhart, No. 13 Cr. 313 (PJH), 2020 WL 1450745, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 25, 2020); United States v. Hernandez, No. 18 Cr. 834 (PAE), 2020 WL 1445851, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2020); United States v. Cohen, No. 18 Cr. 602 (WHP), 2020 WL 1428778. at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 24, 2020).